

### Record of proceedings dated 13.12.2021

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
I. A. No. 13 of 2019 in O. P. No. 4 of 2013	M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited	TSSPDCL & SE (O) Sangareddy TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2013-14 for category of HT-I (B) consumers.

Sri. M. Eshwar Das, DE (IPC) TSSPDCL for respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The representative of the respondents stated that the regular representative for the respondents is unable to attend the hearing today due to personal inconvenience. Therefore, he sought short adjournment of the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
I. A. No. 14 of 2019 in O. P. No. 4 of 2012	M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited	TSSPDCL & SE (O) Sangareddy TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2012-13 for category of HT-I (B) consumers.

Sri. M. Eshwar Das, DE (IPC) TSSPDCL for respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The representative of the respondents stated that the regular representative for the respondents is unable to attend the hearing today due to personal inconvenience. Therefore, he sought short adjournment of the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
I. A. (SR) No. 28 of 2019 in O. P. No. 21 of 2017	M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited	TSSPDCL & SE (O) Sangareddy TSSPDCL

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2018-19 for category of HT-I (B) consumers.

Sri. M. Eshwar Das, DE (IPC) TSSPDCL for respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The representative of the respondents stated that the regular representative for the respondents is unable to attend the hearing today due to personal inconvenience. Therefore, he sought short adjournment of the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 31 of 2021	M/s. Sri Sai Ram Ice Factory	TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed seeking refund of the amounts paid towards electricity charges and punishing the respondents for non-compliance of the order of the Ombudsman U/s 146 of the Act, 2003.

There is no representation for petitioner through advocate. The clerk representing the advocate for petitioner stated that the counsel is unable to attend the hearing due to preoccupation before the Hon'ble High Court. The Commission sought to know the status of the writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court. The clerk stated that the matter is listed today before the Hon'ble High Court. Therefore, the matter may be adjourned by a week. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 36 of 2021	M/s. L. B. Kunjir	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking reimbursement of the principle amount along with DPS / LPS for the energy supplied to the DISCOM.

Sri T. Uma Shankar, advocate representing Sri V. N. Bohra, advocate for petitioner and Sri. M. Eshwar Das, DE (IPC) TSSPDCL for respondent are present. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that the matter is coming up for filing of counter affidavit and consequent rejoinder, if any. The counter affidavit is not filed, hence, the matter may be taken up on any other date. The representative of the respondent stated that the regular representative for the respondent is unable to

attend the hearing today due to personal inconvenience. Therefore, he sought short adjournment of the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned. The respondent shall file the counter affidavit on or before 20.12.2021 duly serving a copy of the same to the petitioner. The petitioner may file the rejoinder, if any, by 31.12.2021 duly serving a copy of the same to the respondent.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 38 of 2020	M/s. Sri Ambika Steel Industries	TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed seeking penal action against the TSSPDCL and its officers for non-compliance of the directions given in the order dated 09.09.2021 by the Commission.

There is no representation for petitioner through advocate. The clerk representing the advocate for petitioner stated that the counsel is unable to attend the hearing due to preoccupation before the Hon'ble High Court. Therefore, the matter may be adjourned by a week. The representative of the respondents stated that the regular representative for the respondents is unable to attend the hearing today due to personal inconvenience. The Commission observed that it was represented on the earlier date of hearing that the respondents are proceeding to file appeal before the Hon'ble ATE and wanted to know the status of the same. Otherwise, the respondents have to implement the order of the Commission immediately. The representative stated that he would appraise the same to his management. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
R. P. No. 2 of 2021 in O. P. No. 26 of 2016	TSGENCO	TSDISCOMs. APDISCOMs & ESCOMs

Hearing at 3.30 P.M.

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 05.06.2017 in O. P. No. 26 of 2016 passed by the Commission.

Dr. T. R. K. Rao, Director (Comml. & Fuel) for review petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Sri P. Shiva Rao, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 and 4 have appeared through video conference. There is no representation on behalf of ESCOMs. While proceeding to hear the review petition, the Commission noticed that a letter alongwith vakalat on behalf of PKCL has been received from the law firm Just Law, seeking adjournment of the hearing the by three weeks. After ascertaining the convenience of the other parties, to the proceedings, who are present, the Commission adjourned the hearing.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

